Metabolic Syndrome in Indigenous Amerindian Women in Suriname; Less on Waist and More on Weight?
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Abstract

Background: The indigenous Amerindian populations living in the southern interior part of Suriname have to date largely maintained their traditional hunter-gatherer life-style. In this study we compared the prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MeTS) and its component risk factors between indigenous Amerindian women of the interior of Suriname, with indigenous Amerindian women living in the coastal-rural areas who have a more urbanized lifestyle. We focused on women since the Suriname Health study showed that Indigenous women had the second highest MeTS prevalence nationwide.

Methods: A subset of secondary data from the Suriname Health Study was used. The prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and of MeTS and its component risk factors were compared between the two populations, using analyses of variance and chi-square tests.

Results: Both groups had comparable MeTS prevalence's of almost 40%. Elevated fasting blood glucose occurred twice more frequently in the coastal-rural compared to the interior women (51.2% vs. 24.7%). The prevalence of pre-diabetes was statistically significantly higher in the coastal-rural compared to the interior (43.1% vs. 17.3%), while diabetes mellitus and hypertension rates did not differ statistically significantly between the two groups (resp. 19.1% vs. 14.1% and 5.8% vs. 4.1%). Elevated triglycerides were more than twice more prevalent in the interior compared to the coastal-rural area (43.1% vs. 17.3%), possibly related to alcohol consumption. The obesity prevalence was around 50% in the coastal-rural women, almost three times higher than in the interior, while both groups had comparable prevalence's of an increased waist circumference and waist/height ratio (around 80%). Lifestyle differed statistically significantly between the coastal-rural and interior women: coastal-rural women smoked more, had less vigorous daily activities, more frequently consumed meals from restaurants or fast food and more frequently used sugary soft drinks.

Conclusion: Despite a comparable MeTS prevalence of almost 40%, behavioral and other CVD risk factors differed statistically significantly between women living in coastal-rural areas and those in the interior. Women in the interior had statistically significantly lower pre-diabetes and obesity rates. In both groups the majority of the women (around 80%) had an increased waist circumference, which could be common in Amerindian people, unrelated to cardiovascular risk and needs to be studied further.
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Background

Epidemiological studies have revealed a high prevalence of obesity, type-2 diabetes and coronary heart disease in Indigenous populations who have undergone a rapid transition from a primitive to an urban life-style [1-3]. Throughout the world, such rapid changes mainly in diet and habitual lifestyle may thus lead to increases in the prevalence of cardiovascular disease (CVD)
and diabetes mellitus [4]. Indigenous people in Latin America and the Caribbean also face these challenges. Rising problems of obesity, diabetes mellitus and hypertension have been recorded especially in reserves where Indigenous people have been forced to abandon their original physically intensive lifestyle and their diet [1,5]. On the other hand there are still Indigenous people which live in isolated communities maintaining more or less their traditional life-style and experiencing a low disease burden of CVD [1,6].

The metabolic syndrome (MeTS) has been defined as a cluster of interconnected CVD risk factors which increase the risk of CVD and type-2 diabetes mellitus [7]. The prevalence of MeTS is increasing rapidly worldwide especially in developing countries and reflects a transition from a traditional to a Western-like lifestyle [8].

There are few data on MeTS in Indigenous populations in Latin America. A recent study has highlighted the alarming increase of weight excess and of the burden of non-communicable diseases, suggesting the prevalence of MeTS could be elevated among these Indigenous populations [9].

The current study focuses on Amerindian people of the Republic of Suriname. This country is located on the north-east coast of South America and is part of the Amazon River Basin. Its remote south and south-western interior part are inhabited by Indigenous populations belonging predominantly to the Trio people (Figure 1).

Their population size is about 2,500 [10]. They have largely maintained their traditional life-style of hunting, fishing, and crop cultivation. The Indigenous in the coastal-rural areas of Suriname belong predominantly to the Carib people who have been living in coastal-rural villages for decades in closer contact with urban areas through work, purchase of goods etc. Their population size is approximately 9000 (1.6% of the total population) [11].

In this study we compared the prevalence of MeTS and its component risk factors between Indigenous Trio women of the interior southern part of Suriname, with Indigenous women living in the coastal-rural areas of the country. We focused on women since the Suriname Health study showed that Indigenous women had the second highest MeTS prevalence nationwide [12].
The aim of this study was to assess whether there are differences in the magnitude of behavioural risk factors, MeTS and its component risk factors between Amazonian Indigenous hunter gatherer women living in the southern part (interior) of Suriname and Indigenous women living in the coastal-rural areas of the country.

For our analysis we used a subset of data collected from Indigenous female participants living in the coastal-rural areas and in the interior (south) of the country. We used secondary data from the Suriname Health Study, a cross-sectional national surveillance survey among people 15-64 years, on non-communicable disease risk factors carried out from March-September 2013 [13]. In this study a multistage cluster, household population cross-sectional design was used to select respondents aged 15 to 64 years, men and non-pregnant women, of the 6 main ethnic groups including the Indigenous [13].

The Indigenous participants from the interior of the country had been randomly selected from the largest Trio village Kwamalasamutu, located near the southern border with Brazil [13]. This Trio village was selected because of its representativeness and the relatively high population density, namely 20% of all interior indigenous Amerindians. The number of study participants from this village was oversampled to compensate for high travel costs to the other smaller settlements scattered over the interior [13]. The Indigenous participants from the coastal-rural districts of the country were randomly selected from coastal-rural clusters. This group was oversampled as well to compensate for the relatively small percentage of indigenous Amerindians in the Surinamese population [13].

Data collection

Data were collected using the validated WHO STEPS to chronic disease risk factor surveillance tool, which uses three levels of data collection: Step1 (a validated questionnaire) included the recording of information on demographics and non-communicable disease (NCD) risk factors (smoking, physical activity, dietary habits such as consumption of fast food and restaurant food and sugary soft drinks); Step 2 (Physical measurements) included body weight and height, waist circumference (WC) and systolic and diastolic blood pressure measurements; Step 3 (Blood samples) included the collection of fasting (at least 12 hours overnight) blood samples for laboratory analysis on the blood glucose, cholesterol and triglyceride levels [13].

Data analysis

Body mass index (BMI) was based on measurements of weight and height and expressed as body weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters. BMI categories were defined according to guidelines by the World Health Organization (WHO): underweight-standard weight BMI<25.0, Overweight BMI 25.0-29.9 and Obesity BMI≥30 [14]. The cut point of 80 cm (for women) for the WC was used to determine abdominal obesity [15]. The recommended cut point of 0.5 was used for the waist/height ratio [16].

Hypertension was classified as systolic blood pressure ≥140 and/or a diastolic blood pressure ≥90 and/or self reporting of being on anti-hypertension medication [17]. Diabetes mellitus was classified as a fasting blood glucose ≥7.0 mMol/L and/or self-reporting use of anti-diabetic medication. An 8 hours fasting blood glucose between 6.1-6.9 mMol/L was classified as pre-diabetes [18]. The cut points 1.29 mMol/L and 2.8 mMol/L were used to determine HDL-C dyslipidemia and hypertriglyceridemia respectively [19].

The definition of MeTS used in this article adheres to the IDF/AHA/NHLBI consensus [7], which is based on three or more of the following components: blood pressure ≥130/85 or using anti-hypertensive medication; WC ≥80 cm (for women); fasting glucose ≥5.6 mMol/L and/or using anti-diabetic medication; HDL-C cholesterol<1.29 mMol/L or using lipid lowering medication; Triglycerides >1.7 mMol/L or using lipid lowering medication.

Statistical analysis

The prevalences of behavioural risk factors (Step 1 measurements) between the two sub-populations were compared using the Chi square test. Continuous variables (Step 2 and Step 3 measurements) were expressed as means and standard deviations or, for the variables not normally distributed, the median and interquartile range were used. Analysis of variance (Student t test) and non-parametric tests were used to compare means between the two populations. Discrete variables were expressed as proportions with 95% confidence intervals. The prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and of MeTS and its component risk factors were compared between the two populations using the Chi square test. All analyses were performed using the software Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22. The statistical significance level was fixed at p<0.05.

Ethical approval

The Suriname Health Study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Health of Suriname and written consent was obtained from the study participants [13].

Results

Figure 2 provides an overview of the number of included women by age group for the coastal-rural and interior regions in respectively Step 1 (socio-demographic and behavioral risk factor questionnaire), Step 2 (body measurements: waist, weight, height, systolic and diastolic blood pressure) and Step 3 (laboratory measurements: fasting blood glucose and blood lipids).
Indigenous women from the interior had a statistically significantly more favorable behavioral risk profile with regards to smoking, physical activity and diet, when compared to coastal-rural Indigenous. The differences in mean height and weight (resp. 2 cm and 10.8 kg) between the two populations were statistically significant (Table 1). However, the WCs were similar. The systolic and diastolic blood pressures did not differ significantly, while the fasting blood glucose was statistically significantly higher in the coastal rural women. On the other hand triglyceride levels were statistically significantly higher in the interior group.

Table 1 illustrates the subject's characteristics for the interior and coastal-rural areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Interior</th>
<th>Coastal-rural</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>n=95</td>
<td>n=148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>34.3 (13.7)</td>
<td>36.5 (13.4)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.4 (0-16.2)</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive physical activity&gt;=5 days per week, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>40 (30.4-49.6)</td>
<td>2.7 (0-5.5)</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely/never consume restaurant or fast food, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>82.8 (73.6-92.0)</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely/never consume sugary soft drinks, % (95% CI)</td>
<td>66 (56.8-75.2)</td>
<td>21 (11.2-30.8)</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>n=93</td>
<td>n=143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, mean (SD) kg</td>
<td>58.2 (10.6)</td>
<td>68.0 (13.0)</td>
<td>0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, mean (SD) cm</td>
<td>149.6 (4.7)</td>
<td>152.2 (5.7)</td>
<td>0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC, mean (SD) cm</td>
<td>89.5 (10.7)</td>
<td>90.5 (11.6)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP , mean (SD) mmHg</td>
<td>111.1 (15.0)</td>
<td>114.1 (19.1)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mean (SD) mmHg</td>
<td>73.8 (9.0)</td>
<td>76.4 (11.1)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>n=74</td>
<td>n=90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose *, median (95% range) mMol/L</td>
<td>5.1 (4.4-6.9)</td>
<td>5.6 (4.7-11.1)</td>
<td>0.006**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride *, median (95% range) mMol/L</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5-3.5)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.5-2.5)</td>
<td>0.007**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C, mean (SD) mMol/L</td>
<td>1.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*skewness>2.0; Mann-Whitney non parametric test performed
** significant difference at 0.05 confidence level

Table 1: Participants characteristics. Comparison between Indigenous women living in the interior and coastal-rural areas, Suriname Health Study 2013

Obesity was almost 3 times more prevalent in the coastal-rural women while increased WC (>80 cm) and/or waist/height ratio (>0.5) did not differ significantly (Table 2). Hypertension and diabetes mellitus prevalence did not differ significantly, but pre-diabetes occurred more frequently in the coastal-rural women (Table 2).
Table 2: Comparison of the prevalence of obesity, central obesity, hypertension, diabetes mellitus and pre-diabetes, between Indigenous women living in the interior and coastal-rural areas, Suriname Health Study 2013

We found that a statistically significantly higher percentage of women from the interior than from the coastal-rural area had an increased WC and were not obese (resp. 65% vs. 34%) (Figure 3).

![Figure 3: Comparison of body composition between Indigenous women living in the interior and the coastal-rural areas, Suriname Health Study 2013](image)

Chi square=4.0; p=0.044

The prevalence of MeTS did not differ significantly between the two groups (Table 3). Both populations had a high prevalence (above 80%) of an increased WC and low HDL-C levels (around 50%). Elevated triglyceride levels were more prevalent in the interior group while elevated fasting glucose (EFG) levels were more prevalent in the coastal-rural women.

Table 3: Comparison of MeTS and its component risk factors between Indigenous women living in the interior and coastal-rural areas, Suriname Health Study 2013
Discussion

Our study found that almost 40% in both Indigenous women living in the interior and those in the coastal-rural areas had MeTS. Comparing the risk factor components of MeTS between the two groups, we found that EFG was more than twice more prevalent in the coastal-rural women. The prevalence of pre-diabetes was statistically significantly higher in the coastal-rural women, while the prevalence of diabetes mellitus and hypertension did not differ significantly between the two groups. Elevated triglycerides were more common in women from the interior. We also found an obesity prevalence of around 50% in the coastal-rural women, almost three times higher than in the interior, while both groups had comparable high prevalences of an increased WC and waist/height ratio. Lifestyle between the coastal-rural and interior women differed statistically significantly: the coastal-rural women smoked more, had less vigorous daily activities, consumed more frequently meals from restaurants or fast food and drank more frequently sugary soft drinks. Acculturation of lifestyle is already increasing among the indigenous in the interior, especially among the Wayana, where an increase in consumption of canned and processed foods has been documented [20,21]. The prevalence of MeTS in both our study subgroups was comparable to the national average for women (42.3%) [12]. Both diabetes mellitus and hypertension were less prevalent than the national average for women (resp. 13% and 20%) [22-23]. The relatively high prevalence of MeTS found in both study groups coupled with the relatively low prevalence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus could be an indication that both these subpopulations are in epidemiological transition [2,24], though at different stages. The Indigenous women in the interior in particular, had statistically significantly lower EFG levels and lower pre-diabetes and obesity rates than the coastal-rural women which could be attributed to their traditional horticulturalist lifestyle which includes harsh daily labor. The hypertriglyceridemia in this group, could be attributed to the heavy consumption of kasiri, a locally brewed beer derived from cassava [20,25,26]. The high prevalences of both obesity and EFG levels in the coastal-rural group coupled with less vigorous daily activity and westernization of food consumption puts this group at a greater risk for developing CVD. The value of the WC in both study groups in predicting CVD risk is debatable. Most studies of Indigenous people in South America reveal a relatively high prevalence of low HDL-C and increased WC for women [24,27-29]. High obesity (BMI>30) prevalences were particularly more frequently observed in Indigenous populations with an acculturated sedentary life style [9,30,31]. A study among the Xavante living in a reservation in Brazil revealed a high prevalence of MeTS of 66% [9]. Eighty one percent of that study population was obese and almost 30% had diabetes mellitus, which places them on the same level of CVD risk as Indigenous populations living in reservations in the USA, Canada and elsewhere [32-34]. On the other hand a recently published study among a traditionally living horticultural indigenous population in Bolivia revealed a very low prevalence of CVD risk factors until old age [6]. No previous CVD risk factor studies have been documented in the indigenous Amerindians living in the interior part of Suriname; except for an anthropometric study carried out between 1968-1970 [35]. The average BMI of the women of Kwamalasamutu in that study was 22 [35]. The average BMI in our study, conducted 45 years later was 26. The gradual decline of the burden of infectious diseases during the past 50 years during which the local availability of medical services improved, could have contributed to better health and an increase in body mass [36]. Another study on serum proteins and red cell enzymes carried out in the early 1970s among Trio and Wajana Indians in Suriname revealed that the majority of the study participants had haptoglobin 2-1 and 2-2 [37]. Studies show that these haptoglobin pheno- and genotypes provide a greater susceptibility to diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease [38]. This could suggest that these populations have a genetic predisposition, like other Indigenous populations, to develop diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular diseases.

The small sample size and cross-sectional design of our study groups limits the generalizability of our findings and the ability to analyze temporal trends. But importantly, our findings suggest that the high prevalence of MeTS found, might be inflated since it incorporates WC. An increased WC could be common in Indigenous people, unrelated to cardiovascular risk. The combination of a big waist and a relatively short stature resulted in an increased waist/height ratio (>0.5) of the vast majority of both our study groups. The usefulness of MeTS in predicting CVD as well as the equal weight of the various component risk factors is questionable [39]. Furthermore there is conflicting evidence on the use of either the WC, the BMI, the waist/height ratio or the BMI as predictors of CVD [40-43]. This needs to be studied further.

Conclusion

There is a statistically significant difference in behavioral and other CVD risk factors between Indigenous women living in coastal-rural areas and those living in the interior, despite our finding that both groups have a comparable MeTS prevalence of almost 40%. An increased WC could be common in indigenous Amerindian people, unrelated to cardiovascular risk. This needs to be studied further. The actual burden of diabetes mellitus and hypertension in women living in the interior as well as coastal-rural areas apparently is not as grave when compared to other Indigenous societies on the continent. But the levels of obesity, pre-diabetes and EFG in the coastal-rural women may be an indication that for them the clock is ticking in terms of increased CVD risk, especially considering their seemingly acculturated lifestyle. For both groups, especially for the interior women, there still appears to be window of opportunity for the implementation of healthy life style promotion, risk factor screening (including weight instead of waist monitoring?) and early therapeutic interventions to prevent or mitigate a potential surge in CVDs.
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