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Abstract

Purpose: This study was aimed to evaluate the retentive forces of acetal resin clasps and Cobalt-Chrome (Co-Cr) clasps during attachment/detachment cycles on abutment teeth with two different undercuts and at different intervals.

Materials and Method: Twenty models were constructed by placing either an upper 1st premolar or upper 1st molar inside an acrylic rectangular block. Models were divided according to the abutment teeth into two groups (GI for 1st premolars group and GII for 1st molars group), 10 each. Each group was divided into two subgroups according the framework material, SGA for acetal resin clasp and SGC for Co-Cr clasp. Each testing models and its framework were mounted inside universal testing machine (Lloyd instruments Ltd, England). Retention of each clasp at pre-test (0 cycle) and after cycling intervals (730 cycles, 1460 cycles, 2190 cycles, and 2920 cycles) were measured by applying withdrawal force to it using universal testing machine. The data of the retentive force magnitudes at different intervals were collected and tabulated. The data were subjected to statistical analysis using 3-way-ANOVA test, student’s t and paired t tests.

Results: After 730 cycles, 1460 cycles, 2190 cycles, and 2920 cycles there were non significant difference between SGIA and SGIC, and SGIA and SGIIC at 95% confidence level (P > 0.05), however there were statistical significant difference between SGIIA and SGIIC, and SGIC and SGIIC at 95% confidence level (P ≤ 0.05).

Conclusion: Acetal resin clasps (1.0 mm in cross section diameter) engage 0.25 mm provide sufficient retention nearly similar that of Co-Cr clasps, The acetal resin clasps should be thicker more than 1.0 mm (in cross-sectional diameter) when engage a deeper undercut (0.50 mm) to gain the stiffness of the cast Co-Cr clasps 1 mm in cross sectional diameter and to obtain clinically acceptable retention.
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Introduction

Sufficient retention and esthetics of removable partial dentures (RPDs) are considered as the most important factors affecting their clinical success. So the achieving of optimal esthetics while maintaining retentive integrity, and preserving the health of the abutment is a big dilemma [1-3].

The most common metal alloy used for construction of the direct retainers of RPDs is a cobalt-chromium (Co-Cr) alloy, also other alloys as gold, titanium alloy and round wrought wire can be used for fabrication of the direct retainers [4-14].

The display of the direct retainer assemblies of RPD is a big esthetical problem [12]. Many trials have been carried out to overcome this problem such as etching the clasp arm and coating it with a layer of tooth-color resin, and lingual retention design [13,15-17].

Recently thermoplastic resins have been introduced into the market, direct retainers fabricated in a tooth-colored material and constructed from polyoxymethylene (POM), also the polyetheretherketon (PEEK), and polyetherketonketon (PEKK) have been used to enhance the esthetic of metal direct retainer assemblies of RPD [17,18].
POM is highly versatile engineering polymer that bridges the gap between metal and ordinary plastic, because it offer the strength of metal and the flexibility and comfort of plastic [3]. Also it is called by acetal resin, and it has been used as a alternative for acrylic resins in many dental prosthetic situations [19,20].

The design, retentive force, colour stability, water sorption and solubility of acetal resin clasps have been investigated [20-23]. While, Ozkan et al. showed clinically acceptable discolouration values for polyacetal resin that subjected to thermocycling [24]. Retentive clasp arms of the direct retainers must be flexible and should retain the RPD satisfactorily without unduly stress abutment teeth or be permanently deformed during service. Direct retainers of the RPD that were constructed from elastic materials demonstrated a higher resistance to retention loss [25,26].

In spite of the thermoplastic resin direct retainer is more flexible than the conventional Co-Cr direct retainer there is little data available regarding the long-term performance of such direct retainers [19,27-31]. Therefore this study was aimed to evaluate the retentive forces of acetal resin clasps and Co-Cr clasps during attachment/detachment cycles on abutment teeth with two different undercuts and at different intervals. The null hypothesis of this study was that the differences in the retentive forces between resin clasps and Co-Cr alloy clasps at different intervals and two amounts of undercut (0.25 mm and 0.50 mm) would be insignificant.

Materials and Methods

For this study twenty natural teeth (ten maxillary 1st premolars and ten maxillary 1st molar) were used for construction twenty testing models to create an apparatus to hold the abutment during testing as following:

One laboratory custom made copper model (30 mm in length, 20 mm in width, and 25 mm in height) was used for fabrication the testing models. The testing models were constructed from rectangular acrylic (Stellon, DeguDent GmbH, and England) blocks with a natural tooth embedded in each model vertically to the cementoenamel junction.

The testing models were divided into two groups according to the model’s teeth: group I (GI) contained ten testing models, each testing model having 1st premolar (0.25 mm undercut), and group II (GII) contained ten testing models, each testing model having 1st molar (0.50 mm undercut).

The abutment of the testing model was surveyed to ensure that there was adequate undercut (0.25 mm for GI and 0.50 for GII) [14]. Minor tooth preparations were performed to provide rest seat. The palatal surface was recontoured by using stone with low speed hand piece for lowering the height of contour to provide ideal balance (reciprocation) between two arms of the clasp [1,2].

Then a small piece of wax (0.7 mm in thickness, 20 mm in length, and 5 mm in width) having a small perforation at the its distal end, was fixed at the superior surface of the testing model, and 2 mm from the proximal tooth surface to provide framework stopper. The unwanted proximal undercuts were blocked out by using softened wax to eliminate its effect on the retention force and then trimmed by means of the wax trimmer of dental surveyor [1,2,11].

The testing models were duplicated into investment models (Calibra-M, Protechno, Spain) by using silicone duplicating material (Dupliflex, Protechno, Germany).

According the clasp and framework material each group was divided into two subgroup (SG), each SG contained five testing models, SGA for acetal resin clasp material and SGC for Cr-Co clasp material.

On the investment models, cast Co-Cr alloy (Kera C, Eisenbacher Dentalwaren ED GmbH, and Germany) and acetal resin material (Bredent, Germany) frameworks with Aker clasps were constructed as following.

Half round cross section Aker clasp wax patterns with 1.0 mm thickness (Polywax, Bilkim, and Izmir, Turkey) were used to construct the wax patterns of all frameworks of this study. After that a small rectangular piece of wax (15 mm in length, 3 mm in height, and 6 mm in width) was fixed on the superior surface of the investment cast with 2 mm away from the distal surface of the abutment, by using softened wax. This rectangular plastic piece was placed parallel to superior surface of the investment cast; it was connected with the wax pattern of the clasp by wax. A small cylindrical piece of wax sprue (20 mm in length and 3 mm in diameter) was fixed at 90 degree to the rectangular piece of wax (to produce a testing column of the framework) and away 3 mm from the minor connector of the wax pattern framework.

The flasking and the injection process of acetal resin for the wax pattern of the frameworks of SGIA and SGIIA were carried out using the acetal furnace (Thermopress 400, Bredent, Seden, Germany), however the wax pattern of frameworks of SGIC and SGIIIC were cast into Co-Cr as conventional manner, (Figure 1a-1c).

The frameworks were tried on the models and were considered to be suitable for testing when the occlusal rests fit well in their rest seats, the retainers were in contact with the abutments, and the positive part of the framework rested on the testing model.

Heavy contacts of the Co-Cr and the acetal resin minor connectors with the proximal surfaces of the tooth were adjusted using the disclosing medium (Impregum Soft, 3M ESPE, and USA). The disclosing medium was applied, the frameworks were gently inserted into the testing model and held firmly until the silicone has set [1,2]. The framework was removed and carefully checked. Areas
that were exhibited metal showing through were adjusted. Then old disclosing medium was cleaned off, and new medium was applied, and the framework was tried in again until seating was achieved.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the means and standard deviations of retention forces of different subgroups at different intervals. However, Table 2 shows the pairwise comparisons of the retention forces of different subgroups at different intervals.

At pretest (0 cycling), pair-wise comparisons using student's t test showed non significant difference between SGIA and SGIC at 95% confidence level (P > 0.05), and showed statistical significant difference between SGIIA and SGIIC at 95% confidence level (P ≤ 0.05). While paired t test showed statistically non-significant between SGIA and SGIIA, and SGIC and SGIIC at 95% confidence level (P > 0.05).

At each interval (730 cycles, 1460 cycles, 2190 cycles, and 2920 cycles) student’s t test showed non significant difference between SGIA and SGIC at 95% confidence level (P > 0.05), and there was statistical significant difference between SGIIA and SGIIC at 95% confidence level (P ≤ 0.05). There was statistical non significant difference between SGIA and SGIIC using Paired t test, at 95% confidence level (P > 0.05).

At the base line, regardless to abutment undercuts 2-way-ANOVA test showed statistically significant difference between Cr-Co clasps subgroups and acetal resin clasps subgroups (P ≤ 0.05). Irrespective of clasp material the difference between molar group and premolar group was statistically non-significant (P > 0.05). After different cycling intervals, regardless to abutment undercuts 2-way-ANOVA test showed statistically significant difference between Cr-Co clasps subgroups and acetal resin clasps subgroups (P ≤ 0.05). Also irrespective of clasp material the difference between molar group and premolar group was statistically significant (P ≤ 0.05).

Statistically, 3-way-ANOVA test showed statistically significant effect of clasp material, effect of amount of abutment undercut, and mechanical cycling (aging) on the retention force, at 95% confidence level (P ≤ 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGIA</td>
<td>19.195 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGIC</td>
<td>21.982 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGIIA</td>
<td>19.16 ± 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGIIC</td>
<td>25.025 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Means and standard deviations of retention forces of different subgroups at different intervals

SGIA, Subgroup of Aker acetal clasp that engaed 0.25 mm undercut. SGIC, Subgroup of Aker Cr-Co clasp that engaed 0.25 mm undercut. SGIIA, Subgroup of Aker acetal clasp that engaed 0.50 mm undercut. SGIIC, Subgroup of Aker Cr-Co clasp that engaed 0.25 mm undercut.
Discussion

An in-vitro study was carried out to compare the retention forces of acetal resin clasps and Co-Cr clasps with two amounts of undercut at different intervals. This experiment was conducted for 2920 cycles to simulate approximately an two years period, if an RPD would be removed four times each day for two years [1,2].

The demand for esthetic dental restorations has been increased due to emphasis on physical appearance in contemporary society [30]. Therefore the 0.25-mm undercut was selected because it represents the undercut that commonly used for Co-Cr clasps. With the increase the need for esthetics, the patients are asking the prosthetists to conceal RPD direct retainers by placing them more cervically, however the undercuts of this area tend to be larger. So the second undercut condition (0.50 mm) was chosen to determine whether construction of RPD direct retainers from acetal resin would be a possible substitute to the metal clasps in large undercuts [21].

Loss of retention of the clasps due to fatigue resistance test was considered as a good indicator of permanent deformation of the clasps [6,25].

Initially, the mean of retention force in N was 19.195 for SGIA, 21.982 for SGIC, 19.16 for SGIIA, and 25.025 for SGIIC. The previous results were higher than that recorded with other studies and were disagreement with Helal, et al. Firtell and Soo S, Leung [1,2,9,11]. The variations in retention forces compared with the previous studies may be due to the difference in the flexibility of the alloys used, or the amount of deflection, or the testing models.

The difference in the alloys used lead difference in the flexibility that will affect the retention; the difference in the amount of the abutment undercuts leads to difference in the amount of deflection that will affect the retention. Also the difference in the design of the testing models affect the retention due to the different of the direction of the insertion and withdrawal forces that will affect the retention.

After 2920 cycles the mean of retention force in N was 1.46 for SGIA, 1.58 for SGIC, 1.88 for SGIIA, and 5.14 for SGIIC, these were disagreement with other studies. However Tannous, et al. Firtell and Soo S, Leung [1,2,9,11]. The variations in retention forces compared with the previous studies may be due to the difference in the flexibility of the alloys used, or the amount of deflection, or the testing models.

After 730 cycles, 1460 cycles, 2190 cycles, and 2920 cycles there were no significant differences between SGIA and SGIC, and SGIA and SGIIC at 95% confidence level (P > 0.05), however, there were statistical significant differences between SGIIA and SGIIC, and SGIC and SGIIC at 95% confidence level (P ≤ 0.05), these may be attributed to the effect for the clap material, and amount of abutment undercut on the retention forces. Therefore the null hypothesis of this study that there would be no significant difference in retention forces between acetal resin clasps and Co-Cr clasps at different intervals and two amounts of undercut (0.25 mm and 0.50 mm) was rejected.

The results of the current study revealed that there were significant effect for the clap material, amount of abutment undercut, and mechanical cycling (aging) on the retention forces at different intervals (P ≤ 0.05).

There was a significant effect for the clap material on the retention forces of the clasps at different intervals (P ≤ 0.05). The results of this study revealed that there were significant increase in retention forces for the Cr-Co clasps that engaged 0.50 mm undercut more than that of acetal clasps at different intervals (P ≤ 0.05), these were in agreement with Tannous, et al. and Arda and Arikan who reported that the mean retention force of Co-Cr clasps was significantly higher than that for acetal resin clasps [14,21]. However, there were non significant differences in retention forces between acetal resin clasps that engaged 0.25 mm undercuts and acetal resin clasps that engaged 0.50 mm undercuts however there were significant differences in retention forces between Co-Cr clasps that engaged 0.25 mm undercuts and Co-Cr clasps that engaged 0.50 mm undercuts.

This may be due to the modulus of elasticity for acetal resin is 2.36 GPa, that of Co-Cr alloy is 240 GPa, the relatively low rigidity of the acetal resin, and similarity in the thickness of acetal resin clasps and Co-Cr clasp that used in this study. Therefore the acetal resin clasps should be thicker (in cross-sectional diameter) than Co-Cr clasps when engages a deeper undercut (0.50 mm) to...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pairwise Comparisons</th>
<th>0 Cycle</th>
<th>730 Cycles</th>
<th>1460 Cycles</th>
<th>2190 Cycles</th>
<th>2920 Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGIA Vs SGIC</td>
<td>1.6121</td>
<td>0.1456</td>
<td>1.499</td>
<td>0.1720</td>
<td>0.7817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGIA Vs SGIIA</td>
<td>0.1584</td>
<td>0.8818</td>
<td>0.7983</td>
<td>0.4693</td>
<td>0.2126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGIIA Vs SGIC</td>
<td>10.244</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001*</td>
<td>7.7589</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001*</td>
<td>3.7978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGIC Vs SGIIC</td>
<td>2.326</td>
<td>0.0805</td>
<td>8.274</td>
<td>0.0011*</td>
<td>6.7930</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*denotes significant difference (P ≤ 0.05)

Table 2: Pairwise comparisons of the retention forces of different subgroups at different intervals
gain clinically acceptable retention. However, the increase thickness (in cross-sectional diameter) of the acetal clasp may lead to plaque stagnation, so RPD with proper design might not cause such problem if the plaque control is carried out and reinstruction is provided [12,13].

Also there were significant increase in retention force for Co-Cr clasps of molar group (0.50 mm undercut) more than that of Co-Cr clasps of premolar group (0.25 mm undercut) (P ≤ 0.05) due to increase the amount of the undercut. These were in agreement with Tannous, et al. who mentioned that “the clasps with 0.25 mm undercut showed significantly less retention than clasps with 0.50 mm,” and with Arda and Arikan who reported that “the retentive force needed to dislodge clasps was significantly lower for the models with 0.25 mm undercuts than for those with 0.50 mm undercuts” [14,21].

There was significant effect for mechanical cycling (aging) on the retention forces at different intervals (P≤0.05). There was gradual loss of the retention forces of all clasps of this study after different cycling intervals. These were in accord with Helal, et al. who reported that the clasp may lose its retention force as a result of multiple deflections, and at variance with Meenakshi, et al. who showed that the retention force of acetal clasps did not decrease after cycling intervals while the Co-Cr clasps lost retention force within 730 cycles of insertion/removal and continued to lose retention force during the remaining intervals [1,2,31].

Finally, the results of the present study were in accord with Fitton, et al. who stated that “the POM clasps must have greater cross-section area than metal clasps to provide adequate retention,” in accordance with these results, Tannous, et al. reported that the greatest retentive force for acetal clasps was found in the 1.5 mm thick clasps designed to engage the 0.50 mm undercut [14,19]. Also others mentioned that the POM clasp must be greater in cross-sectional diameter (approximately 1.4 mm) and approximately 5 mm shorter than Co-Cr clasps in order to have the stiffness similar to a cast Co-Cr clasp 1 mm in cross-sectional diameter and 15 mm long [20]. On the other hand, these results were disagreeing with others who reported that the proportional limit of acetal resin enabling it to engage large undercut [21,27]. Also others reported that acetal resin has superior flexibility compared to the Co-Cr alloys [13,17,18].

This study suggests investigating the fatiguing resistance and surface roughness of acetal resin clasp and Co-Cr clasp after cycling for further work.

**Conclusion**

In accordance with limitations of this study, it could be concluded that:

a. Acetal resin clasps (1.0 mm in cross section diameter) engage 0.25 mm undercut provide sufficient retention nearly similar that of Co-Cr clasps.

b. The acetal resin clasps should be thicker more than 1.0 mm (in cross-sectional diameter) when engage a deeper undercut (0.50 mm) to gain the stiffness of the cast Co-Cr clasps 1 mm in cross sectional diameter and to obtain clinically acceptable retention.
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