Journal of Clinical and Experimental Research in Cardiology
Volume 3 | Issue 2
ISSN: 2394-6504

Research Article Open Access

Prevalence-of-Anatomical-Celiac-Trunk-Variations-Using-3D-Angiography-Computed-Tomography-Images-in-a-Reference-Hospital

Juan S Calle Toro*[1], Gabriel Prada[1], Sara Yukie Rodriguez Takeuchi[2], Juan P Carbonell[3], Daniel Charry[4] and Ana M Granados[5]

[1]Medical Student, Universidad ICESI, Colombia
[2]Radiology Resident, Universidad ICESI, Colombia
[3]Vascular surgeon, Fundación Clínica Valle del Lili, Colombia
[4]Medical Student, Universidad Surcolombiana, Colombia
[5]Neuroradiologist, Radiology unit, Fundación Clínica Valle del Lili, Colombia

***Corresponding author:** Juan S Calle Toro, Medical Student, Universidad ICESI, Colombia, Tel: 3188010839, E-mail address: ctjuans@gmail.com

Citation: Juan S Calle Toro, Gabriel Prada, Sara Yukie Rodriguez Takeuchi, Juan P Carbonell, Daniel Charry, et al. (2017) Prevalence of anatomical Celiac Trunk variations using 3D Angiography Computed Tomography images in a reference Hospital. J Clin Exp Res Cardiol 3(2): 201

Received Date: January 18, 2017 **Accepted Date:** May 16, 2017 **Published Date:** May 18, 2017

Abstract

Purpose: With the advances in the new image techniques and 3D modeling, angiography computed tomography (A-CT) has become a very useful image to study vessels. Prevalence of Celiac Trunk (CeT) variations is common, and have a clinical relevance in preoperative planning. Our objective was to describe prevalence of CeT variations in a study population in Cali, Colombia.

Methods: A retrospective study with a database was made from a selection of A-CT 3D images from January 1, 2012 to September 30, 2014, from which the CeT could be visualized. Patients under 18 were excluded, also with no 3D A-CT, or not Colombian. Frequencies, percentages were calculated using Excel and STATA software.

Results: A total of 252 patients were selected, from which 10 were excluded. The most common causes of performing the A-CT were pathologies of the Aorta, followed by studies for transplantation of the kidney or liver. Variations were found in 71 (30%) patients. The most common variation was the origin of the inferior phrenic artery from the CeT in 43 (60%) patients, followed by accessories arteries to the liver in 11 (15%) patients, and the presence of a hepato-splenic trunk in 8 (11%) patients.

Conclusion: Prevalence of CeT variations in our study population was higher than that reported in other studies. The use of image techniques, such as 3D A-CT, could help the surgeon or the interventional radiologist identify these variations, preventing catastrophic complications, and making safer surgeries for our patients.

Keywords: Celiac trunk variation; Axial tomography; Angiography reconstruction; Anatomical variation

Introduction

The celiac artery or most known as the celiac trunk (CeT) is the major visceral artery in the abdominal cavity supplying major abdominal organs including: the liver, the gallbladder, the spleen, the pancreas, and from the esophagus to the duodenum [1]. Variations are defined as all other different anatomy compared to the classical division [2-4]. Cadaveric and radiological studies have reported a prevalence of CeT variations ranging from 10-12% [2-4]. None of these have been made in low-income countries.

Knowledge of CeT variations is clinically relevant, specifically to determine the blood flow to specific organs [5]. It can help in the diagnosis of specific diseases, assist in selection of treatment options and surgical planning, facilitate surgical dissection, and help avoid iatrogenic injuries [3,5]. Advances in spiral and multidetector computed tomography technology has replaced invasive procedures for the study of this vessels [6,7].

The number of minimally interventional procedures has increased worldwide, and there are many studies that have shown a positive impact in the use of the 3D Computed Tomography Angiography (A-CT scan) for surgical planning in organ transplantation surgery [5,8,9]. Our institution is a Latin American reference hospital for advanced surgical procedures such as surgery of advanced stage cancers of the abdominal cavity and transplant of major organs such as the pancreas, liver and kidneys [10]. Studies of prevalence and characterization of CeT variations have been well described in studies made in high-income countries, but there are just two made in low-income countries by date, none of them from Latin-American countries [11,12]. The purpose

of this study is to determine the prevalence of CeT variations in a local Latin-American study population using 3D A-CT images.

Methods

Patients

A retrospective study was approved by the ethics committee, and all patients were contacted to give written consent for the study. All abdominal A-CT with 3D reconstruction from January 1st of 2012 to September 30 of 2014 were included to create a database of the anatomical CeT variations, from which we exclude patients with less than 18 years at the time of the study, without 3D reconstruction A-CT, or not Colombian origin.

Image technique: Angiographic Computed Tomography

A medical-surgical specialist (vascular surgeon, transplant surgeon or oncologist) ordered A-CT to determine several characteristics of the relationship between the vessels and the organs related to diagnostic and/or treatment.

The images were obtained using a 64-row MDCT scanner (LightSpeed VCT, GE Healthcare) with a symmetrical matrix of 64 detector rows, and slice thickness of 0.625 mm. A dual head injector was used for the administration of contrast material, which allows the simultaneous injection of a compact iodine bolus followed by a normal saline bolus, both of them at the same injection rate of 4.5-5.0 ml/s.

Analyses of the images were performed on a computer with a Siemens console equipped with Syngo software and GE centricity RIS/PACS-IW Solution. A multiplane reconstruction (MPRs) in the three spatial planes and three-dimensional reconstructions (3D) using maximum intensity projection (MIP) and volume rendering (VR) was performed. Selection of the CTA images to analyze were based on those ordered as Thoracic and abdominal Aorta, renal, splenic and hepatic arteries, and contrasted total abdominal CT.

Image interpretation

All images were evaluated by two different physicians of different levels of expertise. First a resident in radiology evaluated the CT 2D axial images obtained by MDCT angiography as well as the post-processed 3D VRT, MIP and MPR images, in order to make a diagnosis. Then all images were reviewed by a former radiologist with more than 10 years of practice in corporal image A-CT.

For image interpretation RA was defined as any artery arising from the abdominal aorta or direct branches and ending in the kidney, regardless of the location and the course and any other anatomical different course were considered as a variation [13].

Statistical analyses

Frequencies and percentages were calculated using Excel® for the prevalence of RA and FMD across sex and age, and location of the anatomical variation. It was considered statistically significant a level of significance lower of 5% ($p<0.05$).

Results

There were 242 patients that fulfilled the criteria for the study, 116 (47%) males and 126 (53%) females. The mean age was 55, the median age was 58, the minimum age was 19, and maximum age was 96.

Normal anatomy or also called the normal CeT trifurcation was seen in 171 (70%) patients, and variations were seen in the other 71 (30%) patients. From those with anatomic variations of the CeT 42 (60%) were men and 29 (40%) were females ($p = 0.119$) (Table 1).

	TOTAL (%) n=71	p-value
Sex		0.119
Men Women	40 (56%) 31 (44%)	
Age		
18-35 35-50 >50	8 (12%) 14 (18%) 49 (70%)	

Table 1: Characteristics of population with CeT variations

In those patients with anatomical variations the most common cause to perform A-CT was a presumed diagnosis of any disease affecting the Aorta artery as aneurism, aneurism rupture, and aortic dissection seen in 100 (41%) patients. The second cause was related to evaluation of donors and receptors of renal or liver transplantation seen in 78 (32%) patients, the next was the study for secondary hypertension seen in 37 (15%) patients, and the last was the blank A-CT (No definitive diagnosis after CT-scan) seen

in 27 (11%) patients. The frequency of CeT variations by suspected diagnosis also followed that order, except that secondary HTN was more common than transplant studies (Table 2).

Diagnosis	Total	Variation
Aorta disease (aneurysm, dissection, stenosis)	**100**	35 (35%)
Transplant (liver, kidney)	78	21 (26%)
Secondary HTN (Renal artery stenosis)	37	12 (32%)
Blank CT*	27	2 (7%)
Total	242	71

*No definitive diagnosis after CT-scan.

Table 2: Frequency of CeT variations by suspected diagnosis

From 71 (30%) patients with CeT variations the most common found was the phrenic arteries emerging from the CeT, seen in 43 (60%) patients. The second most common variation was the presence of accessory arteries emerging from the CeT to the liver, seen in 11 (15%) patients. The third was the presence of the hepato-splenic trunk, found in 8 (11%) patients. The fourth was the presence of the gastro-splenic trunk found in 5 (7%) patients. The fifth was the presence of accessories arteries to pancreas, found in 3 (4%) patients, and the least common was the double splenic artery emerging from the CeT found in 1 (<1%) patient (Figure 1) (Table 3).



Figure 1: Distribution of anatomic variations

	TOTAL (%) n=71
Phrenic arteries	43 (60%)
Accessory arteries to the liver	11 (15%)
Hepato-splenic trunk	8 (11%)
Gastro-splenic trunk	5 (7%)
Accessories arteries to pancreas	3 (4%)
Double splenic artery	1 (<1%)

Table 3: Types of CeT variations

Discussion

Similar to other studies, the sex and mean age of the patients was close to our mean age of 55 years in males. This age of diagnosis is related to the age where people suffer more from hypertension, Aortic aneurysms, renal hypertension and chronic liver disease, so is exposed more to diagnostic images as A-CT; hence we did not find a statistical significant differences between sex [12,14-23].

The prevalence of anatomical variations of the CeT found in this study was three times higher than that reported in other studies ranging from 10-12% [4,5,14,24,25]. This difference should be revalidated in other studies with a bigger Latin American population, but at this time we didn't found any study using latin american patients.

As reported in other studies we found that the most common variation of the CeT was the origin of the inferior phrenic arteries emerging from the CeT (Figure 2A), ranging from 30-51% [2,26]. This variation specifically has been related to the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma, in which they are a focus to catheterization to administer drugs directed to the liver, and knowing this variation can prevent damage to the patient [27]. Also the presence of Accessory arteries to the liver and pancreas (Figure 2B) was found in the same proportion as reported in other studies between 3-10% [28,29]. This variation has been related to pancreatic ischemia secondary to clipping accessories arteries to the pancreas erroneously during abdominal surgeries, liver necrosis during liver transplantation, and during pancreatoduodenectomy and laparoscopic operations of the biliary tract [30–37].



Figure 2: 3D A-CT images

Other less common variations founded was the presence of Hepatosplenic and Gastrosplenic trunks seen in 3% and 2% of the patients, that is the same prevalence reported in larger studies (Figure 2C) [14,24]. This variations are important in laparoscopic resections of the liver and stomach, where a different surgical approach is needed [29,38].

The least common variation founded in our study was the presence of a double splenic artery emerging from the CeT (Figure 2D), which also has been reported as one of the least common. The relevance of this variation has been related during splenic embolization where they need to occlude two arteries instead of the normal one to perform correctly the procedure [29,39,40].

One limitation of this higher prevalence of CeT variation is the total number of people evaluated. We used a relatively small population when is compared to other studies of this kind, but this is the first study in Latin American population, and there are reports of different prevalences among different countries [41]. The second limitation remains in the sensitivity of the A-CT when compared to morphologic post mortem studies [41,42]. The third limitation founded in our study was that we used the information from the biggest hospital in the southwest of Colombia, however this is one of the few studies in middle and low income countries, and the only one in Colombia [41,42].

Conclusion

The anatomical variations of the CeT can be found even in one each three patients undergoing to invasive images or procedures; knowing their existence could help the surgeon or the interventional radiologist to make a safer surgery and to avoid preventable complications. Additional studies are needed in different clinical settings with a higher number of participants.

Ethical committee: An ethical committee approved this study.

References

1. Kaufman J (1997) Atlas of vascular anatomy: An angiographic approach. J Vasc Surg 26: 540.

2. E DB, Khrab P (2013) Coeliac Trunk Variations : Review With Proposed New Classification. Int J Anat Res. 1: 165-70.

3. Winston CB, Lee NA, Jarnagin WR, Teitcher J, DeMatteo RP, et al. (2007) CT angiography for delineation of celiac and superior mesenteric artery variants in patients undergoing hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery. AJR Am J Roentgenol 189:W13-9.

4. Covey AM, Brody LA, Maluccio MA, Getrajdman GI, Brown KT (2000) Variant Hepatic Arterial Anatomy Revisited : Digital Subtraction Angiography Performed in 600 Patients. Radiology 224: 542-7.

5. Nghiem HV, Dimas CT, McVicar JP, Perkins JD, Luna JA, et al. (1999) Impact of double helical CT and three-dimensional CT arteriography on surgical planning for hepatic transplantation. Abdom Imaging 24: 278-84.

6. Curley SA, Chase JL, Roh MS, Hohn DC (1993) Technical considerations and complications associated with the placement of 180 implantable hepatic arterial infusion devices. Surgery 114: 928-35.

7. Winter TC, Nghiem HV, Freeny PC, Hommeyer SC, Mack LA (1995) Hepatic arterial anatomy: demonstration of normal supply and vascular variants with three-dimensional CT angiography. Radiographics 15: 771-80.

8. Indrajit I, Souza J, Bedi V, Pant R (2005) Impact of multidetector CT on 3D CT angiography. Med J Armed Forces India 61: 360-3.

9. Soga S, Pomahac B, Wake N, Schultz K, Prior RF, et al. (2013) CT angiography for surgical planning in face transplantation candidates. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 34: 1873-81.

10. Buell JF, Thomas MT, Rudich S, Marvin M, Nagubandi R, et al. (2008) Experience with more than 500 minimally invasive hepatic procedures. Ann Surg 248: 475-86.

11. Çiçekcibaşi AE, Uysal II, Şeker M, Tuncer I, Büyükmumcu M, et al. (2005) A rare variation of the coeliac trunk. Ann Anat 187: 387-91.

12. Prakash, Rajini T, Mokhasi V, Geethanjali BS, Sivacharan P V, et al. (2012) Coeliac trunk and its branches: Anatomical variations and clinical implications. Singapore Med J 53: 329-31.

13. el-Galley RE, Keane TE (2000) Embryology, anatomy, and surgical applications of the kidney and ureter. Surg Clin North Am 80: 381-401.

14. Song SY, Chung JW, Yin YH, Jae HJ, Kim HC, et al. (2010) Celiac axis and common hepatic artery variations in 5002 patients: systematic analysis with spiral CT and DSA. Radiology 255: 278-88.

15. Marcos A, Ham JM, Fisher RA, Olzinski AT, Posner MP (2000) Surgical management of anatomical variations of the right lobe in living donor liver transplantation. Ann Surg 231: 824-31.

16. Ugurel MS, Battal B, Bozlar U, et al. (2010) Anatomical variations of hepatic arterial system, coeliac trunk and renal arteries: An analysis with multidetector CT angiography. Br J Radiol 83: 661-7.

17. Urban BA, Ratner LE, Fishman EK (2001) Three-dimensional volume-rendered CT angiography of the renal arteries and veins: normal anatomy, variants, and clinical applications. Radiographics 21: 373-86.

18. Regina T, Soares S, Ferraz JS, Dartibale CB, Oliveira RM (2013) Variations in human renal arteries. Acta Sci 35: 277-82.

19. Aldana G, Patiño G, Chadid T (2010) Implicaciones clínicas y quirúrgicas de las variaciones anatómicas vasculares del riñón. Rev Ciencias la Salud 8: 61-76.

20. C Cruzat EO (2013) Irrigación Renal : Multiplicidad de Arterias. Int J Morphol 31: 911-4.

21. Anea CNM, Tanca VDS, Recup DP, Oman IC (2011) Vascular anatomical variants in renal surgery : classic and robotic approach. Rom J Morphol 52: 855-8.

22. Dames EL, Ng LG, Tay KH (2014) Congenital renal arteriovenous malformation presenting with gross hematuria after a routine jog: a case report. J Med Case Rep 8: 65.

23. Satyapal KS, Haffejee AA, Singh B, Ramsaroop L, Robbs J V, Kalideen JM (2001) Additional renal arteries incidence and morphometry. Surg Radiol Anat 23: 33-8.

24. Saritha S (2014) Surgical Anatomy of Coeliac Trunk Variations an Autopsy Series of 40 Dissections. Glob J Med Res Surgeries Cardiovasc Syst 14: 40-6.

25. Sureka B, Mittal MK, Mittal A, Sinha M, Bhambri NK, et al. (2013) Variations of celiac axis, common hepatic artery and its branches in 600 patients. Indian J Radiol Imaging 23: 223-33.

26. Petrella S, Rodriguez CFDS, Sgrott EA, Medeiros Fernandes GJ, Marques SR, Prates JC (2006) Origin of Inferior Phrenic Arteries in the Celiac Trunk. Int J Morphol 24: 275-8.

27. Akhilandeswari B, Ranganath P (2013) Variations in the source of origin of inferior phrenic artery: a cadaveric study. J Anat Soc India 62: 6-9.

28. Dutta S, Mukerjee B (2010) Accessory hepatic artery: incidence and distribution. J Vasc Bras 9: 25-7.

29. Gielecki J, Zurada A, Sonpal N, Jabłońska B (2005) The clinical relevance of coeliac trunk variations. Folia Morphol (Warsz) 64: 123-9.

30. Mäkisalo H, Chaib E, Krokos N, Calne S (1993) Hepatic arterial variations and liver-related diseases of 100 consecutive donors. Transpl Int 6: 325-9.

31. Makomaska-Szaroszyk E, Fiedor P (1989) A rare case of anastomosis between the dorsal pancreatic artery and the middle colic artery. Folia Morphol (Warsz) 48: 147-9.

32. Matsumura H (1998) The significance of the morphology of the dorsal pancreatic artery in determining the presence of the accessory right hepatic artery passing behind the portal vein. Kaibogaku Zasshi 73: 517-27.

33. McNulty JG, Hickey N, Khosa F, O'Brien P, O'Callaghan JP (2001) Surgical and radiological significance of variants of Buhler's anastomotic artery: a report of three cases. Surg Radiol Anat 23: 277-80.

34. Feigl W, Firbas W, Sinzinger H, Wicke L (1975) Various forms of the celiac trunk and its anastomoses with the superior mesenteric artery. Acta Anat (Basel) 92: 272-84.

35. Freund M, Wesner F, Reuter M, Bruckner M (1995) CT angiographic imaging of atypical arterial blood supply to the liver by the superior mesenteric artery. Bildgebung 62: 50-2.

36. Hardy KJ, Jones RM (1994) Hepatic artery anatomy in relation to reconstruction in liver transplantation: some unusual variations. Aust N Z J Surg 64: 437-40.

37. Obrebowski A (1967) A rare case of arterial supply of the liver by a set of accessory arteries. Folia Morphol (Warsz) 26: 44-8.

38. Chen H, Yano R, Emura S, Shoumura S (2009) Anatomic variation of the celiac trunk with special reference to hepatic artery patterns. Ann Anat 191: 399-407.

39. Seicean A, Badea R, Stan-Iuga R, Iancu C, Seicean R (2012) Double splenic artery pseudoaneurysm associating splenic infarction in chronic pancreatitis. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 21: 313-5.

40. Poulin EC, Mamazza J, Schlachta CM (1998) Splenic artery embolization before laparoscopic splenectomy. Surg Endosc 12: 870-5.

41. Yildirim M, Ozan H, Kutoglu T (1998) Left gastric artery originating directly from the aorta. Surg Radiol Anat 20: 303-5.

42. Smith PA, Klein AS, Heath DG, Chavin K, Fishman EK (1998) Dual-Phase Spiral CT Angiography with Volumetric 3D Rendering for Preoperative Liver Transplant Evaluation: Preliminary Observations. J Comput Assist Tomogr 22: 868-74.